

Literariness Journal

A Peer-Reviewed Quarterly
Journal of Literature and Cultural
Studies

P-ISSN: 3108-1614
E-ISSN: 3108-172X

LiterarinessJournal.org

Vol. 1, Issue. 3 June 2026

© 2026 by the author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



A Literariness.org Project

Ecojustice Unbound: Rethinking Capitalism and Ecology through Ecofeminism and Social Identity

SNIGDHA SINGH

Research Scholar
University of Lucknow

Abstract: This study examines the similarities and differences between Naomi Klein and Vandana Shiva's writings in relation to social identity and ecofeminism. This paper places Shiva's *Staying Alive* and Klein's *This Changes Everything* within intersectional and ecofeminist frameworks, highlighting the necessity of integrated approaches to social and ecological justice. The ecofeminist perspective they bring to the front focuses on environmental, social, and feminist scholarships, challenging the historical dualism that separate men from women and nature from culture, and specially how the modern industrial practices not only exhaust natural resources but also disempower local communities, particularly women. It draws attention to how both writers criticize neoliberal growth and capitalist systems for their contributions to escalating environmental deterioration and excluding marginalized groups, whilst also discussing their social justice initiatives and policies. This paper will focus on elaborating how social marginalization and environmental degradation are often treated as altogether separate issues but in true form, both sprout from intersecting structures of power rooted in colonialism, capitalism and patriarchy.

Keywords: *Ecofeminism, Capitalism, Marginalization, Environmentalism*

In recent years, scholars have shed more light on how environmental problems are linked to the way some groups in society are treated unfairly. Ecofeminism is a field that connects environmental issues with feminist ideas, showing that the treatment of nature and the treatment of women and marginalized groups are connected. It shows that both the harm to the environment and the unfair treatment of people, especially women and indigenous groups, are both rooted in systems that favor men, the rich, and those with power. Naomi Klein and Vandana Shiva are two important writers who talk about how these issues are connected. Klein's book *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate* shows that the focus on making money leads to climate change and that the effects hit those who are already in the worst situation. Shiva works on protecting traditional knowledge and the environment, showing that modern systems take away from the land and also make it harder for local people, especially women. This paper will look at how their work connects to ecofeminism and how they see the connections between gender, the environment, and unfair treatment. By looking at both their views on how capitalism causes problems and their ideas about better ways to be sustainable and fair, this paper helps show how environmental and social issues are connected. It also shows the need for changes that improve both the environment and the situation for women. It challenges old beliefs that separate nature from culture and women from men. In recent years, scholars have focused more on how environmental problems and social inequality are connected. At its heart, ecofeminism says that the harm to the environment and the mistreatment of marginalized people, especially women and indigenous communities, come from the same harmful systems like patriarchy, capitalism, and colonialism. Klein's work strongly criticizes neoliberal capitalism, saying that the push for profit has caused a climate crisis that mostly affects the poorest and most oppressed. Shiva, on the other hand, has worked for a long time to protect traditional knowledge and the environment, highlighting how modern industry harms both the land and people, especially women. This paper brings together the ideas of both authors within the ecofeminist framework and looks at how they both address the connections between gender, the environment, and social inequality. By looking at their work together, the paper shows how both authors critique capitalism and imagine a better future that is both environmentally and socially just. It also emphasizes the need for strategies that tackle both environmental and gender injustices at the same time.

Ecofeminism started to gain attention in the 1970s and 1980s as people began to question the way we divide the world into opposites. The theory says that the same systems that take advantage of the environment also hurt women and other people who are already treated unfairly. By showing how the damage to the environment is linked to the oppression of these groups, ecofeminists push for a way of thinking and acting that includes both environmental and social justice. One of the main ideas in ecofeminism is rejecting the false ideas that separate humans from nature, culture from the

environment, and men from women. Ecofeminists argue that these divisions are made up and help support the control of both nature and women. This combined view is important for understanding the big picture of exploitation and for planning actions that can fix both environmental and social problems.

Bina Agarwal examined closely the different ideas in this debate, we can understand the ecofeminist argument in several ways, firstly, there are strong links between the control and mistreatment of women and the control and use of nature in harmful ways. then, in traditional male-centered views, women are seen as more connected to nature, while men are seen as more connected to culture. Since nature is considered less important than culture, women are also seen as less important than men. Thirdly, because women and nature have both been treated unfairly together, women have a special role in stopping the unfair treatment of nature, helping to restore the relationship between people and the natural world. lastly, both the feminist movement and the environmental movement support equal, non-hierarchical systems (Bina 5).

Carolyn Merchant in *The Death of Nature* wrote that the way modern science sees the world treats nature like a lifeless thing. Ecofeminists say that these kinds of ideas help support the idea of controlling both nature and women. Val Plumwood also talked about how these ideas of difference lead to power being used unfairly. She said that the weaker side of these differences like nature, the body, feelings, and the feminine are seen as less important. Because of this, ecofeminism connects the treatment of women with the way the environment is used, and it wants a fair way to treat both. It started as a way to challenge the ideas that shape how we think today, like the difference between nature and culture, men and women, and reason and emotion.

Kimberlé Crenshaw, in 1989, came up with the idea of ‘intersectionality’ to explain how different unfair systems, like racism and sexism, work together to affect people who are already treated badly. She said that the experience of being treated unfairly because of multiple things at once is more than just the separate issues of racism and sexism added together. When used with ecofeminism, intersectionality shows how pollution and environmental problems hurt women, indigenous people, and the poor more than others.

Moreover, both Klein and Shiva use ideas from intersectionality. The idea of social identity is closely connected to how ecofeminists look at issues that affect different groups. Intersectionality shows how different kinds of unfair treatment like racism, sexism, and classism work together to make some people face more challenges. When talking about environmental problems, intersectionality helps explain why climate change and environmental damage hit certain groups harder, like women, indigenous people, and those with less money. Klein shows how climate change affects people

unequally, with the poorest and most vulnerable groups suffering the most. She points out that people who aren't to blame for pollution often face the worst effects and adds that climate change is like a cruel unfairness that makes problems worse. Shiva also talks about how modern farming and resource extraction harm traditional ways of living, especially the roles women play in knowing and caring for the land. She agrees, saying that development has actually hurt women, nature, and the poor. Their work shows that environmental crises are not just about nature but are also about unfair treatment and cultural issues.

When reading Both Klein and Shiva, we see that they criticize the capitalist system, but they do so in different ways. Klein's work is based on how neoliberal capitalism where government rules are less strict, private companies take over more, and big businesses come first, has led to disaster capitalism. This system uses crises to make money, causing environmental harm and unfair treatment. She criticizes the extractivist logic of neoliberal capitalism, which views the "Earth as an endless resource to be exploited" (Klein 169). She refers to the current situation as "disaster capitalism", where crises are used for corporate profit (Klein 47). Shiva, while agreeing that capitalism is bad, focuses on how industrial farming and patenting of life forms harm the environment and the knowledge of indigenous people. She says that treating nature as something to be owned destroys biodiversity and the ways people, especially women, live in harmony with the environment. Together, their work shows that our economic systems are connected to environmental harm and the unfair treatment of vulnerable groups. She focuses on how seeds, soil, and water are turned into commodities. And states that, "The seed is the first link in the food chain, and saving seed is the first step to food security" (Staying Alive 88). For her, patents on living things and monocultures represent the harm caused by neoliberal development. Together, Klein and Shiva show how capitalism, ecological damage, and social inequality are deeply connected.

Klein argues, "Climate change breaks down the beliefs that support modern conservatism" (Klein 40). By connecting environmental harm to neoliberal deregulation, she sees climate change not only as an environmental problem but also as a matter of social justice. She also connects the climate crisis directly to capitalism, saying, "The story of rising carbon emissions is the story of deregulated capitalism" (Klein 82). She highlights how climate justice affects different groups, especially those who are poor, indigenous, and female-led, who often face the worst impacts. she argues that the climate crisis is not a small problem but a major result of the way capitalism works. She looks at the history and politics of environmental damage to show how corporate power and policies that favor business over public good have changed the natural world into something that can be used for profit. Her work is also strong in how it looks at how different people are affected. Klein points out that the worst effects of climate change hit those least responsible, like indigenous people, the poor, and

women. Her focus on social identity means environmental justice must be connected with fighting for fairness in society. Klein's work is both a warning and a plan for making economic and environmental policies that are fair, sustainable, and include everyone.

Vandana Shiva has written a lot about ecofeminism and has been important in shaping these ideas. In *Staying Alive* and later books like *Earth Democracy*, she highlights the ecological knowledge of women and criticizes industrial farming. She says that, "Women produce more than half of the world's food, yet their knowledge is systematically ignored" (*Earth Democracy* 129). For Shiva, restoring balance in nature means valuing women's work and traditional indigenous practices. She warns us that "Monocultures of the mind lead to monocultures of the soil" (*Staying Alive* 10). While also criticizing the control of agriculture by corporations as a form of knowledge suppression against indigenous communities, she talks about how environmental justice must protect the knowledge of indigenous people and the important role women play in keeping the environment balanced. She challenges the usual ways of development that put profit before nature and the people who live in harmony with it. Shiva also talks about how global trade and big companies cause environmental damage and harm traditional farming communities, especially women. By showing how women are closely connected to the environment, she provides a powerful alternative to the way the world normally sees nature as something to own. Her work calls for change that's both good for the environment and fair for people.

While Naomi Klein and Vandana Shiva look at environmental issues from different angles, they both share some important ideas. They both criticize capitalism and how it causes environmental and social problems. They both say that the profit-driven way modern economies work makes it hard to live in a way that's kind to the environment and fair for everyone. They also both stress that the effects of environmental harm are not the same for everyone; those who are already disadvantaged often suffer the most, which fits with the idea of intersectionality. Both offer new ways of thinking and acting to change the system. Klein focuses on the need for big policy changes and holding big companies accountable, while Shiva focuses more on local knowledge, protecting indigenous rights, and supporting sustainable farming. Although they have different focuses, together their work gives a full picture of how global economic forces and local practices affect both the environment and how people see themselves in society.

Naomi Klein notes that the way the global economy is set up now focuses more on making money for big companies than on helping people and protecting the environment. She talks about how the constant push for growth and less government control has created a "disaster capitalism." This means that environmental problems are used as a way for companies to make more money and expand their control. For Klein, climate change is both a result of and a sign of an economic system that hides costs

and leaves poor and vulnerable groups behind. She uses real-life examples to show how environmental disasters are used to change the way businesses and politics work. We see how the ideas are deeply connected to different aspects of social inequality and the effects of climate change aren't spread evenly. People who are already in difficult situations, like Indigenous people, poor communities, and women, are hit the hardest. This isn't a coincidence; it's because the system favors powerful industries over the rights and needs of the many. People need to bring big changes in how the economy is run. She says governments and other institutions with more power than the general public should focus more on making the environment healthy and protecting people's rights rather than just serving big companies.

She shows that the groups that don't pollute much are often the ones who suffer the most from climate issues. This shows that climate change isn't just a natural problem, but it makes social divides larger. Klein points out that communities that are ignored or treated unfairly are often placed in areas that are more at risk from environmental dangers. They don't have enough resources to deal with these changes, which creates a cycle of unfair treatment. She uses different cases around the world to show how putting environmental and social problems together creates a system of unfairness. This approach supports ecofeminist ideas by challenging the way people separate nature from culture and the unfairness in our society.

Vandana Shiva also has a strong view on how capitalism hurts the environment and society. She looks at how industrial farming and policies that take too much from the land lead to bad environmental effects and unfair treatment. Shiva explains that modern farming relies on growing only one type of crop, using chemicals, and owning seeds through patents. These practices harm nature and make it harder for local farmers, especially women, to survive. We see that probably the way how nature is treated under capitalism leads to ecological breakdown and weakens the communities that live in rural areas. She believes in supporting local farming methods and protecting the rights of Indigenous people as a way to fight against the harmful effects of global capitalism. She wants to build a farming system that works with nature instead of against it, and she supports laws that protect Indigenous communities. Her works also focus on how Indigenous knowledge and the roles of women are important in keeping the environment healthy. She says that women in many traditional societies are the ones who understand nature best. They use their knowledge to care for the land in a way that keeps it balanced. But under the current economic system, this knowledge is undervalued and taken by big companies, which hurts these communities more. Shiva argues that the lack of support for Indigenous women is not just about gender, but also about the environment. When traditional ways of living are lost, the environment suffers. By giving a voice to Indigenous women and supporting their knowledge, Shiva wants to protect the environment and make sure these communities are respected and

empowered. This approach shows how important social identity is for building a fair and healthy world.

Klein's idea for the future is about changing the way we think about money and the environment. She believes that the old system of growth needs to be replaced with one that respects nature and makes sure everyone has a fair share. She suggests policies that weaken corporate power, protect the environment, and invest in clean energy and public works. She sees these changes as a political fight. She says big changes can happen when groups like local communities, Indigenous people, and those who are ignored come together to hold both governments and companies accountable. This aligns with ecofeminist beliefs by challenging the current situation and showing a path to a more just and healthier world. On the other hand, Shiva's vision for the future is about changing the way we grow food. She supports agroecology, which is a way of growing food that works with nature instead of harming it. According to Shiva, agroecology helps protect biodiversity, brings money back to local areas, and makes communities more able to handle challenges. She also wants the power of government and businesses to be spread out more, making sure decisions are made in local communities that respect the knowledge and rights of Indigenous people and others. By promoting this kind of development that includes everyone and works with nature, Shiva imagines a future where the health of the environment is connected to the well-being of the people, especially women and Indigenous groups.

We can note that Naomi Klein and Vandana Shiva work on different levels, where Klein usually talks about big global issues, Shiva focuses on local actions. But their ideas support each other. Klein looks at how the global system, especially the way money and power are controlled, affects the environment. Shiva studies how communities, especially those using traditional farming or natural ways of living, can fight back against these big forces. Together, their work shows that real change needs to happen both globally and locally. Big changes in laws and how governments work should go hand in hand with efforts led by local people. This approach helps tackle both environmental problems and unfair treatment of people.

When we integrate the ideas from Klein and Shiva, we get an idea for how we create and use policies. First, they show that environmental policies should consider how they affect different groups, especially those who are already struggling. Second, they argue that people who are most affected by environmental problems should help shape the solutions. Activists and leaders can use Klein's ideas about holding people accountable and Shiva's focus on giving power to local people to make stronger, fairer plans. For example, supporting local renewable energy projects and farming methods that work with nature can help reduce climate change while also improving people's lives. International

agreements about the environment should also protect the rights of indigenous people and women, who are often the ones most harmed by environmental damage.

Both the authors stress the importance of recognizing and protecting the rights of indigenous peoples. These communities are often the first to fight for the environment and have deep knowledge of the land. Legal systems that respect indigenous land rights and include their knowledge in environmental decisions are key to making both the environment and society better. Examples like community-run forests and conservation projects led by indigenous groups show how traditional practices can work with modern environmental efforts. These examples support Klein's emphasis on understanding how different issues connect and Shiva's focus on supporting indigenous voices, showing that saving the environment and protecting culture are closely connected.

One way to use their ideas is by supporting community based renewable energy projects. Many communities around the world are starting their own solar or wind energy projects. These projects help reduce use of harmful fossil fuels, create local jobs, and build stronger communities. These efforts match Klein's call for big changes in the energy system and Shiva's belief in local power. By involving people who are often left out, these projects ensure that benefits are shared fairly and highlight how environmental and social justice can go together.

Agroecology is a powerful example of how local actions can change big systems. Shiva believes that traditional farming methods are both good for the environment and fair for people. In areas where modern farming has caused problems, using agroecological practices has brought back healthy soil, more biodiversity, and a stronger sense of community. Movements for food sovereignty show how people can take control of their own food systems. By doing this, marginalized communities, especially women, can fight against the way food is controlled by big companies. These efforts challenge the global food system and show how ecofeminist ideas can work in real life.

Now, if we talk about one of the main challenges in combining the ideas of Klein and Shiva it is dealing with the different ways to approach problems. Klein often wants large changes through international policies, while Shiva focuses on local efforts that people control. Future work needs to find a way to support both these approaches. This means creating systems that allow for strong international rules while also letting local communities take the lead in their own efforts. Both authors point out the big challenge of strong neoliberal ideas. The global economy, which focuses on making markets work best and making the most profit, is a powerful force that doesn't easily change. To face this dominance, it's not just about changing policies but also about completely rethinking how humans relate to nature. This is a big job that needs constant activism, education, and cultural changes.

The writings of Naomi Klein and Vandana Shiva strongly criticize the modern capitalist system, showing how the use of nature and the control over poor communities are closely linked. Through a deep look at their works, along with other important writings, this paper outlines how an intersectional approach is key for environmental and social justice. By questioning old ideas that separate nature from culture and women from men, ecofeminism gives a strong base for understanding how different kinds of oppression work together. Ecofeminist ideas emphasize three main points, first, the connection between how women and nature are represented in society and how they are treated; second, the shared goals and ideas between the women's rights movement and the environmental movement, and third, a vision of a more just and harmonious society.

Klein's critique of neoliberal capitalism from a wide angle and Shiva's support for local farming and rights of indigenous people show the many sides of these challenges. Their work shows that the problems of climate change and social inequality are not separate but come from the same economic system that undervalues both the environment and people's well-being. Combining global policies with local community efforts offers a hopeful way to build a fairer and more sustainable future. Whether through local energy projects, eco-friendly farming, or protecting the rights of indigenous peoples, the lessons from Klein and Shiva are clear, real change needs a combined approach that tackles linked problems. As this paper shows, ecofeminism and social identity aren't just theories but real tools for understanding and fighting modern inequality. In the ecofeminist view, the link between the control of women and the control of nature is seen as an idea, rooted in a system of beliefs, values, and ways of thinking that places women and the natural world lower than men. This perspective encourages both women and men to rethink how they see themselves and how they relate to each other and to the natural world in ways that are more equal and balanced.

In the face of climate change, loss of biodiversity, and continued unfairness, the work of Naomi Klein and Vandana Shiva is a strong signal for taking action that is connected and focused on different kinds of justice. It's through this view that leaders, activists, and communities can start making paths toward a fair and sustainable future, one where nature and the voices of the oppressed are respected, valued, and empowered.

However, the ecofeminist argument has some issues. It treats "woman" as a single group without considering how factors like class, race, or ethnicity affect women's experiences. This can overlook other types of domination that affect women. It also focuses mostly on ideas rather than the real, material reasons for domination, such as economic and political power. Even when looking at ideas, it doesn't explain much about the social and political systems that shape these ideas or how dominant groups maintain control through their influence. Also, it doesn't consider the real, daily experiences of

women in relation to nature, rather than just how others or they themselves might think about it. Some ecofeminist ideas that link women and nature to biology may be seen as essentialist, assuming that there is a fixed, unchanging nature to women. This ignores the fact that ideas about nature, culture, and gender are created and change over time and across different cultures.

Works Cited

- Agarwal, Bina. "The Gender and Environment Debate: Lessons from India." *Feminist Studies*, vol. 18, no. 1, 1992, pp. 119–158. JSTOR, <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178217>.
- Crenshaw, Kimberlé. "Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color." *Stanford Law Review*, vol. 43, no. 6, 1991, pp. 1241–1299. JSTOR, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039>.
- Gaard, Greta. *Ecofeminism: Women, Animals, Nature*. Temple UP, 1993.
- Klein, Naomi. *This Changes Everything: Capitalism vs. the Climate*. Simon & Schuster, 2014.
- Merchant, Carolyn. *The Death of Nature: Women, Ecology, and the Scientific Revolution*. HarperOne, 1980.
- Mies, Maria, and Vandana Shiva. *Ecofeminism*. Zed Books, 1993.
- Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Harvard UP, 2011.
- Plumwood, Val. *Feminism and the Mastery of Nature*. Routledge, 1993.
- Salleh, Ariel. *Ecofeminism as Politics: Nature, Marx and the Postmodern*. 2nd ed., Zed Books, 2017.
- Shiva, Vandana. *Earth Democracy: Justice, Sustainability, and Peace*. South End Press, 2005.
- . *Soil Not Oil: Environmental Justice in an Age of Climate Crisis*. South End Press, 2008.
- . *Staying Alive: Women, Ecology, and Development*. Zed Books, 1988.
- Warren, Karen J. *Ecofeminist Philosophy: A Western Perspective on What It Is and Why It Matters*. Rowman & Littlefield, 2000.