Literariness Journal
A Peer-Reviewed Quarterly Journal of Literature and Cultural Studies

P-ISSN: 3108-1614
E-ISSN: 3108-172X

LiterarinessJournal.org

Vol. 1, Issue. 2
March 2026

Citation: Gupta, Neetu Kumari. "Broken Mirrors and Headless Nations: Diasporic Schizophrenia in Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* and *Shame*." *Literariness Journal*, vol. 1, no. 2, Mar. 2026, pp. 1454–65.

A Literariness.org Project

Broken Mirrors and Headless Nations: Diasporic Schizophrenia in Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* and *Shame*

DR. NEETU KUMARI GUPTA
Assistant Professor
Department of English, Nowgong Girls' College
Gauhati University, Haiborgaon, Nagaon, Assam

Abstract: Postcolonial diasporic writing frequently returns to the nation as a site of memory, loss, and imaginative reconstruction. For writers inhabiting the liminal space between homeland and exile, the nation often appears not as a coherent entity but as a fractured, contested narrative. This paper examines the representation of national fragmentation and diasporic schizophrenia in Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* and *Shame,* arguing that Rushdie's conception of the nation as an "imaginary homeland" radically unsettles the modernist ideal of the nation-state as unified, organic, and teleological. Drawing upon postcolonial theory, trauma studies, and psychoanalytic metaphors of fragmentation, the paper contends that Rushdie's diasporic imagination does not merely nostalgically recuperate the lost homeland but actively exposes its constructedness, internal fissures, and historical violences.

Through sustained analysis of recurring metaphors such as the perforated sheet, dismembered bodies, decapitation, and female embodiment, this paper demonstrates how Rushdie aligns the splintered psyche of the migrant subject with the broken cartographies of post-partition India and Pakistan. In both novels, national history is rendered discontinuous, non-linear, and mythic, mirroring the schizophrenic condition of diasporic consciousness itself. This paper is an attempt to argue that Rushdie's imagined homeland diverges sharply from the homogeneous and organic model of the modern nation-state that both India and Pakistan have pursued since independence. The paper ultimately argues that his narrative strategy foregrounds a post-national condition in which identity, memory, and belonging are irrevocably plural, unstable, and contested, offering a powerful critique of nationalist historiography and the violence inherent in the project of nation-making.

Keywords- *Diaspora, identity, memory, nation, postcolonial theory, trauma studies*

Introduction

"We are our memory, we are that chimerical museum of shifting shapes, that pile of broken mirrors."

—Jorge Luis Borges, *Evaristo Carriego*

Diasporic writing occupies a privileged yet precarious position within postcolonial literary discourse, enabling writers to interrogate the nation from a vantage point shaped simultaneously by belonging and estrangement. For such writers, the homeland is neither a stable geographical entity nor a recoverable site of origin, but a discursive construct continually mediated through memory, loss, and imagination. Salman Rushdie's formulation of the "imaginary homeland" emerges precisely from this condition of displacement, foregrounding how national identity is narrated, fractured, and reconstituted in exile. Writing from outside the territorial boundaries of the nation, he exposes the modern nation-state not as a coherent, organic whole but as a fragile assemblage marked by historical rupture, political violence, and psychic dislocation.

Research Methodology

The paper examines *Midnight's Children* (1981) and *Shame* (1983) as two complementary explorations of diasporic consciousness and postcolonial nationhood. It argues that Rushdie's representation of India and Pakistan is shaped by what may be described as a metaphorical schizophrenia, a condition in which fragmentation, contradiction, and excess become defining narrative principles. Rather than offering a nostalgic recuperation of the lost homeland, his fiction persistently dismantles nationalist myths of unity and continuity by aligning the fractured subjectivity of the migrant narrator with the dismembered body of the nation itself. Through recurring metaphors of partial vision, bodily disintegration, decapitation, and gendered embodiment, he dramatizes the deep psychological and ethical crises produced by Partition and its aftermath.

Drawing upon postcolonial theory, trauma studies, and narrative theory, this paper contends that Rushdie's diasporic imagination functions as a critical lens through which the nation is rendered legible in its brokenness. In *Midnight's Children*, fragmentation is articulated through memory, myth, and narrative excess, while *Shame* intensifies this logic by foregrounding repression, violence, and collective affect. Together, the two novels articulate a post-national vision in which identity, history, and belonging remain permanently unsettled. By examining how Rushdie "loves the nation in parts," this study seeks to demonstrate how diasporic literature not only reflects national fracture but actively exposes the constructedness and moral precariousness of the nation-state itself.

Thematic Discussion

Diaspora study refers to the dispersion or scattering of a group of people from their original homeland to different parts of the world, while maintaining a collective memory, identity, or connection to that homeland. Such studies offer a critical framework for rethinking fixed notions of identity, belonging, and national boundaries. From this perspective, nationhood is not viewed as a stable or homogeneous construct but as a contested and often exclusionary formation shaped by migration, displacement, and transnational memory.

Rushdie's diasporic perspective plays a crucial role in shaping his critique of nationhood in both novels. Writing from outside the geographical boundaries of India and Pakistan, he adopts a position that allows him to question the assumptions and myths underlying nationalist ideologies. His distance from the nation enables him to expose the arbitrary nature of political borders and to highlight the human consequences of their creation. The violence of Partition, the displacement of populations, and the ongoing tensions between communities are presented as direct results of borders drawn without regard for lived histories and cultural continuities.

This diasporic viewpoint also contributes to Rushdie's emphasis on hybridity and cultural mixing. Both *Midnight's Children* and *Shame* celebrate linguistic, cultural, and religious diversity, challenging the notion of a pure or homogeneous national identity. The mixing of languages, genres, and narrative forms reflects the complex realities of postcolonial societies, where identities are shaped by multiple influences and historical encounters. Rushdie's narrative style itself becomes a form of resistance against rigid definitions of nationhood, demonstrating the creative potential of fragmentation and multiplicity.

Diasporic Fragmentation from *Midnight's Children*

Diaspora, in Salman Rushdie's fictional universe, is not simply a matter of spatial displacement but a condition of epistemological estrangement through which the nation becomes newly legible. Writing from exile, Rushdie occupies a liminal position that allows him to view the postcolonial nation neither from within its self-mythologizing narratives nor entirely outside its historical claims. The nation, in his work, emerges as an "imaginary homeland" not because it is unreal, but because it is accessible only through memory, fantasy, and fragmentation. Nowhere is this more powerfully articulated than in *Midnight's Children* and *Shame*, where the fractured consciousness of the diasporic subject is persistently aligned with the broken body of the postcolonial nation-state.

In *Midnight's Children*, he dismantles the nationalist fantasy of unity by exposing the nation as a narrative construct riddled with fissures, omissions, and contradictions. The novel's formal exuberance, marked by digression, temporal dislocation, and narrative excess, mirrors what Benedict Anderson

describes as the imagined nature of national community. Yet Rushdie's intervention is more radical: he reveals that this imagination is never stable or complete, but perpetually threatened by historical violence, especially the trauma of Partition. The nation that Saleem Sinai attempts to narrate resists coherence, slipping constantly into multiplicity and contradiction.

The emblematic metaphor through which this epistemological condition is staged is the episode of the perforated sheet. Positioned at the very threshold of the narrative, the image of the sheet with a circular hole function as a structuring device for the entire novel. Saleem's insistence that this object is his "talisman" and "open-sesame" establishes partial vision as the only possible mode through which the nation can be apprehended. India does not appear as a panoramic whole but as a series of isolated fragments glimpsed through an aperture shaped by absence.

Doctor Aadam Aziz's courtship of Naseem literalizes this condition of incomplete knowledge. Restricted to viewing only a seven-inch portion of her body at a time, Aziz is compelled to assemble his beloved through imagination rather than perception. The resulting image, described as a "badly-fitting collage," becomes an unmistakable allegory for the postcolonial nation. Like Naseem's body, India is apprehended in disjointed parts, stitched together by desire rather than coherence. The metaphor anticipates the catastrophic dismemberment of 1947, when the subcontinent was violently partitioned along artificial lines that ignored historical, cultural, and affective continuities.

Reading it psychoanalytically, the perforation in the sheet signifies a constitutive lack around which diasporic desire circulates. The nation becomes an object structured by absence rather than presence, always deferred, never fully recoverable. Rushdie's repeated emphasis on "loving in parts" signals a decisive departure from restorative nostalgia. The homeland is not lost in exile; it is revealed as having never been whole. This fragmentary vision becomes the defining feature of diasporic consciousness, which cannot totalize the nation without falsifying it.

The metaphor is further intensified by its gendered logic. Naseem's body functions as a symbolic terrain upon which erotic, political, and historical desires converge. As in many nationalist imaginaries, the nation is feminized, rendered as an object of longing and possession. Rushdie exposes the voyeuristic violence underlying this metaphor by foregrounding the intrusive male gaze that fragments rather than comprehends. The nation, seen through the perforated sheet, is simultaneously intimate and estranged, desired yet perpetually withheld.

The perforation is that very lack, which, despite the excesses of the discourse, refuses to close its yawning chasm. The migrant author's vision of the homeland is mediated, indeed, constituted by this very lack. Consequently, in the novel we find that Doctor Aadam Aziz, the narrator's grandfather, uses this very perforation to get a glimpse of his future wife, Naseem:

> You will kindly specify which portion of my daughter it is necessary to inspect. I will then issue her with my instructions to place the required segment against the hole that you see there. And so, in this fashion the thing may be achieved (*Midnight's Children* 23).

This mode of partial apprehension governs the novel's narrative voice as well. Saleem Sinai's unreliability, his chronological confusions and factual inaccuracies, are not narrative flaws but formal strategies. Rushdie rejects linear, teleological history in favor of what Homi Bhabha terms the performative narration of the nation, where meaning is produced through repetition, interruption, and ambivalence. Saleem's fallible memory mirrors the instability of national historiography, which is shaped as much by forgetting as by remembrance. Saleem's body becomes the site where national history inscribes itself most violently. Born at the precise moment of India's independence, he is "handcuffed to history," yet this symbolic equivalence does not confer heroic stature. Instead, his body is fragile, diseased, and ultimately disintegrating. His physical decay literalizes the psychic fragmentation of postcolonial India, suggesting that the nation's foundational trauma continues to reverberate through individual lives. Kashmir's spectral presence as a "headless" space further reinforces this logic, embodying the unresolved conflicts and amputations that haunt the national body.

Analysis and a Claustrophobic exploration of violence in *Shame*

If *Midnight's Children* stages the fragmentation of the Indian nation through memory, myth, and bodily decay, *Shame* represents Salman Rushdie's more severe and uncompromising engagement with the psychic and political consequences of national rupture. Written in the immediate aftermath of *Midnight's Children*, *Shame* marks a shift from allegorical exuberance to a darker, more claustrophobic exploration of violence, repression, and moral paralysis. Here, Rushdie turns his attention to Pakistan, a nation born explicitly out of division, and renders it as a space haunted by the unresolved traumas of its own genesis. The novel exposes the postcolonial nation not merely as fractured, but as structurally unstable, caught in a perpetual cycle of repression and eruption.

Rushdie's Pakistan, however, is never offered as a mimetic reproduction of historical reality. Instead, it exists, as the narrator repeatedly insists, "at a slight angle to reality." This deliberate off-centering aligns the novel with what Homi Bhabha identifies as the liminal space of national narration, where the nation is revealed as a performative construct rather than a fixed entity. By refusing to name the country explicitly, he universalizes its condition, suggesting that the pathology he diagnoses is not unique to Pakistan but symptomatic of postcolonial nationhood itself.

In *Midnight's Children*, he presents the nation through Saleem Sinai's personal story, showing how fragmented histories and multiple voices shape India's identity. Saleem's life exists in a liminal space between private and national history, reflecting the unstable nature of postcolonial identity. The novel shows ambivalence toward nationalism by mixing pride with criticism of political events like the

Emergency. Similarly, in *Shame,* Rushdie narrates Pakistan's nationhood through fiction and satire, blurring the line between history and imagination. The characters live in liminal spaces between tradition and modernity. The novel also shows ambivalence toward power and national identity by exposing political hypocrisy and social tensions. Thus, his works reflect Bhabha's idea that the nation is continuously constructed through narrative, shaped by liminality and marked by ambivalent meanings.

Central to *Shame* is the idea that nations, like individuals, can suffer psychological disorders. The novel repeatedly invokes metaphors of schizophrenia, repression, and psychic overload to describe Pakistan's political life. In this context, shame functions not simply as an emotion but as a structuring force, a collective affect that governs social relations while remaining largely unacknowledged. Shame, as Rushdie presents it, is both omnipresent and invisible, embedded in everyday domestic spaces yet rarely confronted. It becomes, in effect, the nation's unconscious.

The sheet, in a way, therefore, performs the same distinctive function as that of the authorial imagination, rendering the nation into an anarchic, chaotic and de-ordered space which can be shaped and re-shaped according to memory and fantasy:

> As for me: I, too, like all migrants, am a fantasist. I build imaginary countries and try to impose them on the ones that exist. I, too, face the problem of history: what to retain, what to dump, how to hold on to what memory insists on relinquishing, how to deal with change (*Shame* 87–88).

At the core of *Shame* lies the notion that nations, like individuals, can suffer psychic disorders. Shame operates as a collective affect, omnipresent yet systematically repressed. It permeates domestic spaces, political rituals, and social interactions, becoming what the narrator describes as part of the furniture. This normalization of shame signals a profound epistemic crisis, in which ethical distinctions erode and violence becomes habitual.

The figure through whom this repressed affect finds its most terrifying expression is Sufiya Zinobia. Marked from birth as cognitively "deficient," Sufiya occupies a position outside normative rationality, making her the ideal vessel for the nation's unacknowledged guilt. Cast as a saint who "suffers in our stead," she absorbs the accumulated shame of a society unwilling to confront its own failures. Her eventual transformation into a decapitating monster is not aberrant but inevitable, the result of prolonged repression erupting through the body.

Sufiya's violence is best understood not as individual pathology but as symbolic enactment. Her repeated acts of decapitation echo the foundational violence of Partition, which severed the subcontinent into hostile fragments. Through her body, the nation's suppressed history returns in

grotesque form. Reading through Deleuze and Guattari's concept of schizophrenia as deterritorialization, Sufiya becomes the site where political, patriarchal, and historical contradictions collapse into uncontrollable excess. Sufiya Zinobia's violence may be productively read through this theorization of schizophrenia as a process of deterritorialization, wherein the repressed contradictions of social and political systems erupt uncontrollably upon the body.

Gender too, remains central to this critique. Whereas *Midnight's Children* feminizes the nation as a fragmented object of desire, *Shame* presents femininity as a site of containment and violation. Sufiya's body is veiled, policed, and disciplined, even as it becomes increasingly lethal. Rushdie exposes the cost of silencing women within nationalist and patriarchal structures, suggesting that a nation that represses female subjectivity simultaneously represses its own moral conscience.

The political sphere in *Shame* is dominated by grotesque figures such as Iskander Harappa and Raza Hyder, whose authoritarian excesses parody real historical regimes. Yet Rushdie resists simplifying political violence into individual villainy. Power operates systemically, sustained by spectacle, fear, and ritual humiliation. The narrative saturates violence, from executions and massacres to intimate betrayals, rendering outrage increasingly difficult to sustain.

Against this landscape of decay, the three Shakil sisters emerge as a haunting counter-image. Existing in radical interdependence, they embody a pre-partition vision of collective identity. Their shared pregnancy evokes a utopian moment of undivided belonging, when communal life had not yet been reorganized along rigid national lines. This fragile unity, however, cannot survive the logic of individuation imposed by the modern nation-state. When forcibly separated, the sisters become grotesque hybrids, their mismatched heads and bodies allegorizing the catastrophic consequences of Partition. Their final act of vengeance against Raza Hyder differs markedly from Sufiya's frenzied violence. Executed with chilling precision and collective intent, it represents a belated reckoning by the dismembered soul of the subcontinent itself. The nation, once violently partitioned, now mirrors that violence upon its architects. The disjointedness of the national landscape is inscribed upon the fragmented human body in a final act of judgment.

Taken together, *Midnight's Children* and *Shame* articulate a profoundly post-national vision. Rushdie's diasporic imagination refuses to sanctify the nation as a stable object of loyalty or nostalgia. Instead, it exposes nationhood as a fragile, contested, and often violent narrative sustained through selective memory and repression. Fragmentation, far from being a failure of representation, becomes the most ethical mode of engaging with histories shaped by rupture.

However, Rushdie does not offer healing or closure. What he offers instead is exposure: of wounds that continue to fester beneath nationalist myths, of bodies that bear the cost of political abstraction, and of memories that resist containment. In his work, the imaginary homeland is not a destination but a critical lens through which the contradictions of postcolonial nationhood are laid bare.

Nation and Nationhood

In terms of literary theory, the concept of nation and nationhood are not seen as fixed or natural realities but as cultural and ideological constructions. Critics like Homi K. Bhabha, Benedict Anderson, and Ernest Renan argue that nations are formed through shared narratives, symbols, and collective imagination rather than pure political boundaries. Benedict Anderson describes the nation as an "imagined community", where people feel connected despite never meeting each other. Homi K. Bhabha views nationhood as a process shaped by storytelling, memory, and cultural differences, emphasizing ideas like hybridity, cultural negotiation, and the unstable nature of national identity.

The conventional critical assumption that diasporic writing is driven primarily by nostalgia for a lost homeland proves inadequate in the context of Salman Rushdie's fiction. Rather than reproducing a sentimental longing for an originary nation, his diasporic imagination subjects the very idea of the homeland to sustained interrogation. In keeping with Benedict Anderson's formulation of the nation as an "imagined community," he exposes the nation not as a natural or organic entity but as a narrative construct continually reassembled through memory, fantasy, and historical rupture. The nostalgic impulse, far from functioning as a restorative force, becomes perversely destabilizing, revealing the national imaginary as already fractured, internally contradictory, and resistant to totalization. What emerges from the migrant mind, therefore, is not a unified vision of the homeland but a schizoid assemblage of partial memories, dislocated geographies, and mythic reconstructions that mirror the subject's own divided consciousness.

Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* and *Shame* embody what Homi Bhabha calls a postcolonial questioning of the nation as a stable or unified entity. Both the novels present a post-national vision in which fragmentation, discontinuity, and multiplicity become the most truthful ways of representing history. In *Midnight's Children*, Saleem Sinai's fractured memory and broken narrative mirror the divided history of India after partition, suggesting that national identity is shaped by "broken mirrors" and "missing bits" rather than coherent unity. Similarly, *Shame* exposes the artificiality of Pakistan's nationhood through satire, showing how political power suppresses lived realities and produces moral and social fragmentation. Rushdie's diasporic perspective allows him to reveal the human cost of nationalist abstraction, particularly the violence and displacement caused by borders imposed without regard for cultural histories. Both texts also affirm William Faulkner's insight that "The past is never dead. It's not even past" (*Requiem for a Nun*), as historical trauma continually shapes present identities

and political structures. Thus, Rushdie suggests that acknowledging historical fracture and ambivalence rather than promoting myths of national unity, it is essential for postcolonial societies to confront their past and reimagine their future.

In *Midnight's Children*, Rushdie constructs the history of India through the personal narrative of Saleem Sinai, who is born at the exact moment of India's independence in 1947. Saleem's life becomes inseparable from the fate of the nation, symbolizing the intimate relationship between private experience and public history. However, his narrative is fragmented, contradictory, and marked by gaps, reflecting the instability of both memory and national identity. Saleem repeatedly acknowledges the unreliability of his recollections, suggesting that history itself is constructed through selective remembrance and interpretation. This narrative fragmentation parallels the fractured nature of postcolonial India, particularly in the aftermath of Partition, which produced massive displacement, communal violence, and enduring social divisions.

Rushdie uses the metaphor of the "broken mirror" to describe Saleem's storytelling, emphasizing that reality can only be represented through incomplete and scattered fragments. This metaphor also reflects the divided condition of the nation, whose identity cannot be reduced to a single coherent narrative. The children born at midnight, each possessing extraordinary abilities, symbolize the diversity and multiplicity of India's population. Yet their eventual dispersal and failure to remain united reveal the impossibility of sustaining a unified national vision. Through this structure, he challenges nationalist ideologies that seek to impose homogeneity and suppress difference.

The novel also critiques political authority and state power, particularly through its depiction of the Emergency (1975–77) declared by Indira Gandhi. During this period, the state attempts to impose order and unity through authoritarian measures, including the forced sterilization of the midnight's children. This act symbolizes the suppression of plurality and individuality in the name of national progress. Rushdie thus exposes the violence inherent in nationalist projects that seek to erase diversity and enforce ideological conformity. Saleem's bodily disintegration toward the end of the novel further represents the fragmentation of the nation itself, suggesting that the attempt to impose unity ultimately results in breakdown rather than cohesion.

While *Midnight's Children* explores the formation of India, *Shame* turns to Pakistan, presenting a similarly critical examination of nationhood. Unlike the relatively realistic setting of *Midnight's Children*, *Shame* operates within a more explicitly fictional and allegorical framework. Rushdie openly acknowledges that the country depicted in the novel is a reimagined version of Pakistan, thereby blurring the boundaries between history and imagination. This narrative strategy emphasizes the constructed nature of national identity and challenges claims to historical authenticity.

The novel, *Shame* focuses on the concepts of shame and shamelessness as forces shaping political and social life. It portrays a society governed by repressive moral codes and authoritarian power structures, where public discourse is dominated by nationalist rhetoric that conceals corruption and violence. Through characters such as Raza Hyder and Iskander Harappa, figures loosely based on Pakistani political leaders, Rushdie critiques the hypocrisy of political authority and the manipulation of national ideals for personal gain. The novel suggests that the nation is sustained not by shared values but by the suppression of dissent and the concealment of uncomfortable truths.

The character of Sufiya Zinobia embodies the repressed violence underlying social and political structures. Her transformation into a figure of uncontrollable rage represents the destructive consequences of denying or repressing collective trauma. Sufiya's actions reveal the psychological and emotional costs of a society that seeks to maintain a façade of unity while ignoring internal divisions. Through this portrayal, Rushdie demonstrates that suppressed histories inevitably return in disruptive and often violent forms, reinforcing the idea that the past cannot be erased or forgotten.

Like *Midnight's Children*, *Shame* presents national identity as fragmented and unstable. The narrative moves between different perspectives and voices, undermining any single authoritative version of events. The boundaries between truth and fiction, history and fantasy, are deliberately blurred, suggesting that national narratives are themselves forms of storytelling rather than objective representations of reality. This approach reflects Rushdie's broader critique of nationalist discourse, which often claims historical legitimacy while obscuring its ideological foundations.

At the same time, Rushdie's fiction highlights the ethical issues of nationalism. By revealing the violence and exclusion built into nationalist efforts, he encourages readers to question the moral validity of the nation-state. The focus on "broken mirrors" and "missing bits" indicates that national stories often leave out certain experiences and perspectives. These gaps frequently involve marginalized groups, whose histories are erased or silenced when shaping national identity. His works aim to recover these silenced voices and expose the human toll of political abstraction.

The persistence of historical trauma in both novels further reinforces their critique of nationhood. The events of Partition, political repression, and social violence continue to shape individual and collective identities, demonstrating that the past remains an active force in the present. This temporal continuity reflects Faulkner's assertion that the past is never truly past but continues to influence contemporary experience. Rushdie's characters are haunted by history, unable to escape the legacies of colonialism and political conflict. Their struggles illustrate the difficulty of achieving reconciliation or unity without confronting the realities of historical violence.

'*Midnight's Children* and *Shame* propose a vision of the nation as an ongoing and contested process rather than a fixed or unified entity. Rushdie rejects simplistic narratives of national progress or coherence, emphasizing instead the complexities and contradictions of postcolonial identity. His fiction suggests that acknowledging fragmentation and ambivalence is essential for understanding the realities of nationhood and for addressing the ethical challenges posed by political borders and historical divisions.

Conclusion

Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children* and *Shame* together offer a sustained and unsettling critique of postcolonial nationhood as a project founded upon rupture, exclusion, and selective memory. Writing from the diasporic position of partial belonging, Rushdie refuses to naturalize the nation as a stable or sacrosanct entity. Instead, he exposes it as a narrative formation continually shaped by violence, repression, and historical contingency. The imaginary homeland that emerges from his fiction is not a space of restorative nostalgia but a fractured terrain in which memory and imagination collide to reveal the nation's internal contradictions.

Using the idea of "broken mirrors" and "headless nations", Salman Rushdie presents nationalism as fragmented and unstable in *Midnight's Children* and *Shame*. His fiction shows that national identity is not whole or unified but divided, like a broken mirror reflecting incomplete images of reality. The idea of "headless nations" suggests that nations often lack clear moral direction, leading to violence, exclusion, and confusion. Through a diasporic perspective, he highlights how nationalist narratives ignore marginalized voices and erase diverse experiences. This condition creates a form of "diasporic schizophrenia," where identity becomes split between cultures and histories. By exposing these fractures, his works question the ethical foundations of the nation-state and reveal the human cost of political and national ideologies.

Works Cited

Ahmad, Aijaz Ahmad. *In Theory: Classes, Nations, Literatures*. Oxford University Press, 1997.

Aikant, Satish C. "Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*: The Middle Ground of Diaspora." *Interrogating Post-colonialism: Theory, Text and Context*, edited by Harish Trivedi and Meenakshi Mukherjee, Indian Institute of Advanced Studies, 1996, pp. 210–222.

Anderson, Benedict Anderson. *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism*. Rev. ed., Verso, 2006.

Bhabha, Homi K. Bhabha. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.

Brecht, Bertolt Brecht. *The Caucasian Chalk Circle*. Translated by James and Tania Stern with W. H. Auden, Methuen, 1979.

Faulkner, William Faulkner. *Requiem for a Nun*. Random House, 1951.

Kane, Jean M. "The Migrant Intellectual and the Body of History: Salman Rushdie's *Midnight's Children*." *Contemporary Literature*, vol. 37, no. 1, 1996, pp. 94–118.

Nair, Anita Nair. *The Better Man*. Penguin Books, 1999.

Rushdie, Salman Rushdie. *Imaginary Homelands: Essays and Criticism 1981–1991*. Viking Penguin, 1991.

---. *Midnight's Children*. Vintage Books, 1995.

---. *Shame*. Rupa & Co., 1983.

Su, John J. "Epic of Failure: Disappointment as Utopian Fantasy in *Midnight's Children*." *Twentieth Century Literature*, vol. 47, no. 4, 2001, pp. 545–568.

Online References

Anderson, Benedict. *Imagined Communities*. *The Ted K Archive*, thetedkarchive.com/library/benedict-anderson-imagined-communities. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

Putri, Idola Perdini, et al. "Imagined Communities and the Construction of National Identity." *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 7, 2018, hrmars.com/IJARBSS/article/view/4399. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.

"Homi K. Bhabha — Nation and Narration." *Literary Theory and Criticism*, 6 Apr. 2016, literariness.org/2016/04/06/postcolonialism/homi-bhabha-nation-and-narration/. Accessed 12 Feb. 2026.