Literariness Journal
Vol. 1, Issue. 1, December 2025
P-ISSN: 3108-1614, E-ISSN: 3108-172X
LiterarinessJournal.org

THE VINTAGE ESCAPE: GEN Z, AI, AND THE SEARCH FOR AUTHENTICITY

RESMI ANIE MATHEW
Independent Researcher, Kerala

Abstract: The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into everyday life has transformed work, communication, and leisure, delivering unprecedented convenience and efficiency. Tasks that once demanded significant time and effort are now completed swiftly, with digital platforms placing vast resources within immediate reach. Yet, despite these advancements, recent years—particularly in the post-COVID era—have witnessed a notable revival of analog culture. Vintage cafés, record stores, retro photo booths, and independent bookstores are increasingly popular, attracting visitors who seek experiences once considered obsolete. This trend is especially prominent among Generation Z, a cohort widely recognized as digitally native. This paradox raises important questions: Why does a generation deeply immersed in technological progress actively pursue analog practices? Does this resurgence signal saturation and fatigue with digital environments, or does it reveal the limitations of AI in providing emotional fulfillment, authenticity, and social connection? Current observations suggest that analog spaces offer sensory engagement, nostalgia, and community interactions that digital systems struggle to replicate.

This paper explores the revival of retro culture as both a response to digital saturation and a means of identity negotiation in the AI era. By analyzing Gen Z's engagement with analog practices, the research investigates whether these trends represent resistance to overwhelming technological influence, a search for emotional well-being, or the development of a hybrid cultural paradigm that balances digital efficiency with analog authenticity.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Analog Culture, Generation Z, Digital Saturation, Post-COVID Era, Retro Culture, Nostalgia, Cultural Identity*

The post-pandemic world stands as a complex intersection of crises and opportunities. In the aftermath of COVID-19, societies around the globe continue to grapple with a series of interconnected challenges from the resurgence of armed conflicts and the intensifying climate emergency to persistent inflation, widening inequality, and housing insecurity. These macro level pressures have redefined the everyday human condition; embedding uncertainty as a structural feature of modern life. Simultaneously, this period marks an unprecedented acceleration in technological innovation, particularly in artificial intelligence, automation, and digital communication systems. The increasing integration of these technologies into daily existence has fundamentally altered how individuals perceive, process, and interact with information.

Within this digitalized context, the human experience has been reconfigured into one of immediacy and accessibility. Every desire, whether for knowledge, entertainment, consumption, or communication, lies within the reach of a fingertip. Yet, this apparent empowerment conceals a deeper psychological and cognitive transformation. The contemporary individual is not merely informed but saturated submerged in an endless flow of digital stimuli, notifications, and algorithmically curated content. Consequently, a critical question arises: In an age where information is infinite and instantaneous, are we becoming intellectually and emotionally desensitized suffering a form of collective "brain rot"?

Amidst the turbulence of the post-pandemic and hyper-digital era, a remarkable cultural phenomenon has emerged a pervasive fascination with the past, particularly among Generation Z, the demographic cohort born roughly between the late 1990s and the early 2010s. This generation, often characterized by its digital nativity and technological fluency, paradoxically demonstrates a profound attachment to retro and analog cultural forms. This fascination manifests across multiple domains of contemporary popular culture from fashion and film to music, gaming, and design revealing a generational longing for the aesthetic and emotional sensibilities of bygone eras.

Fashion cycles have notably reflected this shift. The resurgence of Y2K and 1990s aesthetics of low-rise jeans, cargo pants, vintage sportswear, and minimalist accessories speaks to an intentional reappropriation of past styles as tools for self-expression and identity formation. Likewise, the resurgence of vinyl records, cassette tapes, and even Polaroid photography signifies more than a mere trend.

Within the emerging discourse on generational identity and digital culture, one of the most intriguing phenomena is the prevalence of "anemoia" a term describing nostalgia for a time one has never personally experienced. Among Generation Z, this sentiment has become a defining feature of their cultural sensibility. While every generation, to some degree, exhibits a longing for its predecessors—the oft-romanticized notion that "the past was better". Gen Z's nostalgic engagement is qualitatively distinct. Their yearning is not born from lived memory, but from mediated imagination. They mourn an era they know only through digitized fragments: grainy film photographs, lo-fi music, the crackle of vinyl, or the analog imperfection of VHS aesthetics.

This phenomenon can be understood as both a psychological response and a sociocultural commentary on the conditions of digital modernity. Raised in an environment of constant connectivity, algorithmic curation, and virtual immersion, Gen Z has experienced a form of technological oversaturation from an early age. The perpetual exposure to screens and digital interfaces has reshaped not only how they consume information, but how they experience the world itself. Scholars of digital media argue that this constant mediation has led to what some describe as sensory deprivation in abundance—a paradoxical state in

which individuals are overwhelmed by visual and cognitive stimuli yet deprived of tactile and embodied experiences.

Consequently, the nostalgic impulse among Gen Z can be seen as a longing for the tangible. In *Simulacra and Simulation*, Jean Baudrillard (1994) observes, "We live in a world where there is more and more information, and less and less meaning". This statement captures a profound paradox of contemporary digital culture — a landscape oversaturated with data, images, and mediated experiences, yet increasingly devoid of emotional or existential depth. For Generation Z, who have grown up entirely within this hyper-digital context, the proliferation of information and the instant accessibility of simulated realities have diminished the sense of authentic connection to objects, experiences, and even identity. In response, the resurgence of interest in retro products — such as vinyl records, film cameras, vintage clothing, and analog technologies — can be understood as a cultural reaction against the meaninglessness embedded in digital abundance. These retro commodities operate as anchors of authenticity in an otherwise weightless informational economy, where the digital stream of content rarely leaves a tangible trace.

Baudrillard's insight into the loss of meaning under conditions of hyper reality helps explain why Gen Z's fascination with the past is not merely nostalgic but existential. In a media ecosystem where algorithms predict desires before they are consciously formed, individuals often experience reality as already mediated — filtered through screens, curated feeds, and AI- generated recommendations. Within such an environment, the tactile engagement with analog media becomes a form of resistance. The grain of film, the crackle of vinyl, or the physical deterioration of old technology introduces imperfections that defy the seamlessness of the digital. These imperfections embody a human presence — a reminder of process, time, and materiality — that digital perfection erases. Thus, retro products serve as symbols of a lost real, offering an illusion of stability and authenticity that contrasts sharply with the transient, reproducible nature of digital content.

Baudrillard's observation implies that meaning today must be constructed rather than inherited. As the hyperreal replaces the real, individuals seek meaning through performative gestures that simulate authenticity. For Gen Z, engaging with retro culture is both an aesthetic and philosophical act — a way of reasserting individuality and emotional depth within a culture of simulation. Yet, this search for meaning is paradoxical, for even retro authenticity is mediated through digital platforms. The act of photographing a vinyl record for Instagram or curating a vintage outfit on TikTok transforms authenticity into another simulacrum — a copy of authenticity without an original reference. Nonetheless, the desire behind it remains genuine: a longing for substance in an age of surfaces. Baudilard says in Simulacra and Simulation, "It is no longer a question of imitation, nor duplication, nor even parody. It is a question of substituting the signs of the real for the real".

This yearning is particularly pronounced among adolescents and young adults, for whom the formative years of socialization have been mediated through screens. The COVID- 19 pandemic further intensified this condition by confining interactions to virtual spaces, reinforcing what sociologist Sherry Turkle says "Our networked life allows us to hide from each other, even as we tethered to each other." Deprived of physical proximity and real-world interaction, many in this generation experience a form of digital fatigue — a quiet exhaustion that stems from the collapse of boundaries between the virtual and the real. The retreat into nostalgia thus becomes not simply escapism, but a search for authenticity and sensory grounding.

Anemoia, in this sense, is not a passive sentimentalism; it is an active reconstruction of affective history. Through fashion, music, and visual culture, Gen Z reimagines the past as a site of emotional refuge and creative possibility. By remixing and recontextualizing the aesthetics of earlier decades — the grain of analog film, the sound of lo-fi beats, the simplicity of 1990s technology — they construct what cultural theorist Fredric Jameson might call a simulacrum of memory in his seminal work *Postmodernism, or, The Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*, "the past is thereby itself modified… a vast collection of images, a multitudinous photographic simulacrum."

In a world where technological progress is both relentless and intangible, nostalgia becomes a mode of resistance, a subtle rejection of digital homogeneity and algorithmic predictability. Gen Z's anemoia signals a profound cultural recalibration: a collective desire to recover slowness, tactility, and emotional sincerity in an age dominated by speed, abstraction, and simulation.

In recent years, an intriguing consumer and cultural phenomenon has emerged within urban spaces, particularly visible in shopping malls, boutique stores, and entertainment hubs: the return of analog and tactile experiences in an otherwise dematerialized, digital environment. Photobooths, instant film cameras, and analog photography studios—once relics of the late 20th century—are now enjoying an unexpected renaissance. Individuals, particularly members of Generation Z and younger millennials, are increasingly drawn to these vintage practices despite their relatively high cost and the availability of far more convenient digital alternatives. The popularity of retro photobooths, where patrons can obtain small printed photo strips rather than digital files, exemplifies this desire to recover a physical connection with memory and image-making.

The willingness of young consumers to invest considerable amounts of money into these "outdated" experiences suggests that the attraction is not rooted in nostalgia alone, but in a deeper psychological and sensory longing. In an age dominated by digital photography, where

images can be captured, filtered, and discarded within seconds—the analog process offers something rare: deliberation. Each photograph, taken with film or an instant camera,

carries both material and emotional weight. The process is slow, irreversible, and tangible. As a result, the image regains a sense of value that the infinite reproducibility of digital media has largely eroded.

This yearning for tangibility is not limited to photography. The resurgence of vinyl records, cassette tapes, and even compact discs parallels the same broader pattern—a cultural reclamation of embodied media experiences. Whereas streaming services like Spotify or Apple Music provide effortless access to millions of tracks, they simultaneously dematerialize the act of listening. The physical engagement once involved in selecting a record, placing it on a turntable, and manually lowering the needle has been replaced by the frictionless gesture of tapping a touchscreen. The difference, as many analog enthusiasts point out, is not merely mechanical but existential: the former encourages ritual and attention; the latter promotes convenience and transience.

Brion Rushton, the vinyl purchaser for The Record Exchange in Boise, Idaho, articulates this sentiment clearly when he observes, "It's a human desire and need to be able to touch things and have a tactile relationship with objects. You feel more connected with something. It feels real. This [phone] is ephemeral. It's nice to be able to hold something. It feels purposeful." (qtd. in Van Leuven). Rushton's reflection underscores what anthropologists and sociologists of material culture have long argued: that humans possess an intrinsic drive toward physical interaction with their environments and artifacts. The sensory dimension of touch, often overlooked in an era of glass screens and virtual interfaces, is essential to our perception of authenticity and emotional connection.

From a theoretical standpoint, this renewed attraction to analog technologies can be interpreted through the lens of material culture studies and phenomenology. Prominent social anthropologists, Tim Ingold and Daniel Miller have emphasized the ways in which objects mediate social relationships and ground human experience in the material world. The tactile qualities of analog devices—whether the textured sleeve of a vinyl record, the mechanical click of a film camera, or the subtle resistance of a typewriter key—create a multisensory engagement that digital technologies rarely replicate. This engagement transforms consumption into an intentional act, fostering mindfulness and emotional investment in the process of creation and use.

By contrast, digital technologies tend to facilitate what media theorist Byung-Chul Han describes as **"**non-things**"**—immaterial, transient experiences that circulate rapidly but leave little trace of meaning or attachment. The ease of streaming a song or capturing an image has, paradoxically, diminished their significance. When every song, film, or photograph is instantly accessible, the boundaries between creation and consumption blur. The result is a form of passive participation, where users become spectators in a continuous flow of content rather than active agents shaping their sensory environments.

Analog technologies disrupt this passivity by reintroducing intentionality and temporal investment into cultural practice. Playing a vinyl record or developing a roll of film requires patience, care, and physical engagement. The slowness of these processes encourages reflection and attentiveness—qualities increasingly scarce in the culture of immediacy. Moreover, the limitations inherent in analog formats—the finite number of exposures on a camera, the length of a record side—impose a structure that cultivates appreciation and focus. Each action becomes purposeful precisely because it is bounded by effort and impermanence.

While it is undeniable that modern digital tools have democratized creativity and expanded artistic accessibility, their frictionless nature has also altered the relationship between humans and their creations. The analog revival, therefore, should not be dismissed as mere retro fetishism. It represents a deeper cultural recalibration—a search for authenticity and embodiment in a world that increasingly abstracts experience into data. For many members of Generation Z, participating in analog practices offers a sense of grounding, agency, and presence that digital technologies, for all their efficiency, struggle to provide. In *The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction,* Walter Benjamin introduces the term **"**aura**"** to describe the unique presence**,** authenticity**,** and authority of an original work of art. He writes, "That which withers in the age of mechanical reproduction is the aura of the work of art".

In an era defined by technological sophistication and immersive digital experiences, the global gaming industry has also achieved unprecedented levels of realism and interactivity. Contemporary video games, powered by advanced graphics engines, virtual-reality headsets, and multisensory feedback systems, now promise players a form of total immersion. These games simulate complex environments, replicate tactile sensations, and blur the boundary between reality and digital space. Yet, despite these technological triumphs, a noticeable countercurrent has emerged among younger consumers—particularly within Generation Z— who demonstrate a growing fascination with retro gaming.

The resurgence of interest in classic consoles such as the Game Boy, Sega Genesis, Super Nintendo Entertainment System (SNES), and more recent retro-inspired devices like the Anbernic RG35XX, reveals a cultural and psychological response to the overwhelming nature of contemporary digital life. This phenomenon cannot be dismissed as mere nostalgia or aesthetic preference; rather, it signifies a deliberate act of disengagement from the sensory and cognitive saturation that defines modern gaming culture.

Generation Z, born into a world of constant digital connectivity and algorithmic entertainment, occupies a paradoxical position: they are the most technologically literate cohort in history, yet they exhibit increasing levels of digital fatigue and psychological exhaustion. The endless upgrades, downloadable content, and hyperreal aesthetics of modern gaming often create experiences that are visually impressive but emotionally

hollow. The complexity of contemporary gaming interfaces—character customization, in-game economies, and online social ecosystems—demands sustained attention and cognitive investment, transforming leisure into labour. Within this context, retro gaming offers a form of intentional simplicity and psychological relief.

The design philosophy of early gaming consoles embodies constraints—limited color palettes, pixelated graphics, and rudimentary soundscapes—that inadvertently foster creativity and imagination. Players engaging with these systems often report a sense of focus and mindfulness that contrasts sharply with the overstimulation of contemporary virtual environments. The straightforward mechanics of games like Tetris, Super Mario *Bros.*, or Sonic the Hedgehog invite players into experiences that are both challenging and manageable, offering moments of concentration devoid of the sensory bombardment characteristic of modern titles. This simplicity can be interpreted as an aesthetic and existential counterbalance to the overwhelming realism and endless customization of present day digital entertainment.

From a sociocultural perspective, the resurgence of retro gaming also reflects a broader generational yearning for authenticity and control in an age where technology often dictates the terms of engagement. Byung-Chul Han suggests, contemporary society is dominated by an "excess of positivity" and a "pressure to perform," wherein individuals are constantly compelled to optimize their experiences, including those meant for leisure. Retro gaming, by contrast, reintroduces limitation and imperfection—qualities that paradoxically enhance enjoyment by restoring a sense of human agency and narrative coherence.

Economic and cultural analysts have noted that the market for retro consoles and game emulators has expanded considerably in recent years. The demand for devices such as the Anbernic RG35XX or the Nintendo Classic Mini suggests that Gen Z consumers are willing to invest financially in experiences that prioritize nostalgia, tactility, and simplicity over the spectacle of advanced technology. This willingness mirrors the broader consumer trend toward analog revival discussed in other domains—vinyl records, film photography, and handcrafted goods—each representing a symbolic return to materiality and intentionality in an otherwise immaterial digital economy.

Retro gaming can be interpreted through the lens of post-digital aesthetics, a framework that critiques the assumption that technological progress necessarily equates to cultural advancement. Post-digital theory posits that as digital technologies become ubiquitous and invisible, individuals begin to seek meaning in imperfection, limitation, and material engagement. The 8-bit graphics, glitchy sounds, and simple interfaces of vintage consoles thus function as aesthetic signifiers of authenticity—reminders of a technological past that was tangible, predictable, and human-scaled.

Psychologically, this shift may also be linked to the phenomenon of cognitive rest. Studies in media psychology have suggested that individuals exposed to constant digital multitasking and immersive media may experience diminished attention spans and heightened stress. Retro gaming, with its linear narratives and contained worlds, offers a restorative alternative—a structured form of play that allows for concentration without fragmentation. The repetitive nature of older games, once perceived as primitive, now provides a meditative rhythm, contrasting with the incessant updates and online pressures of modern gaming communities.

It is also significant that many retro games and devices encourage local, physical interaction—shared screens, turn-based play, and face-to-face collaboration—features largely absent in online multiplayer ecosystems. In reclaiming these forms of interaction, Gen Z players are not only engaging with historical technologies but also rediscovering modes of social play that foster presence and connection. The simplicity of the medium thus becomes a gateway to recovering forms of community that the networked age has displaced.

The renewed fascination with retro gaming among Generation Z should be understood as part of a broader cultural resistance to technological excess. It represents a conscious recalibration of pleasure away from immersion toward intentionality, away from virtual perfection toward experiential authenticity. While virtual reality technologies promise ever-greater realism, retro gaming offers something arguably more profound: the pleasure of limitation, the comfort of familiarity, and the satisfaction of purposeful simplicity.

As this generation continues to navigate a world of accelerating digital innovation, their embrace of minimal, tactile, and imperfect media suggests an emerging cultural ethos—one that values slowness, imperfection, and human-scaled experiences as forms of psychological and aesthetic renewal in an otherwise overstimulated age.

Apart from social, emotional and psychological reasons there are also ecological factors behind the Gen Z's embrace towards the retro and vintage products. As the world faces the escalating consequences of climate change, the younger generations—particularly Generation Z (born roughly between 1997 and 2012) and Generation Alpha (born after 2013)—are emerging as vocal advocates for environmental protection and sustainable living. This generational consciousness has not arisen in isolation but has been significantly shaped by the pervasive influence of social media and the information networks that define their daily lives. Platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube have become primary vehicles for climate discourse, activism, and education, amplifying environmental awareness at an unprecedented scale. Campaigns led by youth activists—most notably Greta Thunberg and movements like "Fridays for Future" —have transformed sustainability from a niche concern into a defining cultural value among young people.

Paradoxically, this heightened environmental consciousness coexists with an era of rapid technological consumption and digital obsolescence. The same generation advocating for planetary sustainability is also the most digitally connected, reliant on devices whose production and disposal contribute to ecological degradation. This tension has given rise to a fascinating cultural contradiction: a generation raised on digital technology increasingly seeking refuge in analog and retro forms of media—objects that embody durability, repairability, and material continuity. While retro technologies are not inherently sustainable, their material longevity and lower disposability offer a subtle yet significant critique of the environmental costs of modern digital culture.

There is an interconnection between environmental awareness, technological fatigue, and the revival of retro media. Gen Z's analog turn represents not only an aesthetic preference but also a form of eco-cultural resistance—a deliberate reorientation toward material sustainability, circular consumption, and mindful interaction in a world dominated by digital excess.

Social media has played a dual role in shaping Gen Z's relationship with the environment. On one hand, it has served as the primary source of education and mobilization on ecological issues. Viral campaigns, documentaries, and online activism have democratized environmental knowledge, making young users acutely aware of phenomena such as rising sea levels, biodiversity loss, and the impacts of consumer waste. Studies by the Pew Research Center (2022) and Deloitte (2023) indicate that over 70% of Gen Z respondents identify climate change as one of their top global concerns, with nearly half reporting that environmental factors influence their purchasing and lifestyle decisions.

However, social media has also exposed the paradox of sustainability in the digital era. The energy-intensive infrastructure required to power global data centers, the rapid turnover of personal electronics, and the mounting problem of e-waste—defined by the United Nations as discarded electronic devices—have underscored the environmental cost of the digital revolution. According to the Global E-Waste Monitor (2023), the world produced over 62 million tonnes of electronic waste in 2022 alone, with only 17% of that properly recycled. Smartphones, laptops, and gaming devices, often replaced within short cycles due to planned obsolescence, are among the major contributors.

Faced with this contradiction, members of Gen Z are increasingly aware that their digital lifestyles come at a tangible ecological cost. This awareness has begun to shape cultural behaviours in subtle yet meaningful ways, including the revival of analog and retro technologies—objects that, while not emission-free, resist the culture of disposability inherent in modern device ecosystems.

Retro and analog technologies—from vinyl records and film cameras to early- generation gaming consoles and mechanical watches—represent a markedly different philosophy of design and consumption. These objects were often built for endurance, repair, and reuse

rather than rapid replacement. Their mechanical simplicity, modularity, and physical resilience contrast sharply with the fragile, sealed architectures of contemporary digital devices.

For Gen Z, the appeal of these technologies is therefore not solely aesthetic or nostalgic; it is ethical and ecological. Longevity becomes a statement of resistance. In choosing to purchase, restore, or reuse older devices, individuals engage in a form of "slow consumption" that opposes the accelerated production cycles of global capitalism. Unlike smartphones, which are frequently replaced within two to three years, a vinyl player, typewriter, or film camera can function for decades with minimal waste generation.

Retro devices often exist within circular economies of exchange and repair. Communities dedicated to refurbishing old consoles, restoring film cameras, or reissuing vinyl records promote sustainable practices by extending the lifespan of existing materials. Online marketplaces such as eBay, Etsy, and specialized forums facilitate this ecosystem, allowing consumers to participate in a culture of reuse rather than continuous acquisition. These practices resonate deeply with Gen Z's growing commitment to ethical consumerism, which emphasizes not only what one buys but also how and why one consumes.

The environmental dimension of this analog revival also intersects with emotional sustainability—the idea that durable, tactile objects foster longer-term attachment and care. When individuals physically handle, maintain, or repair an item, they develop a relationship that transcends utility. This contrasts sharply with the ephemeral relationship most users have with their digital devices, which are designed for effortless consumption but not for emotional investment.

The digital economy, often celebrated for its apparent "cleanliness," masks a complex and environmentally destructive material foundation. The production of smartphones, laptops, and gaming consoles relies heavily on the extraction of rare earth minerals such as lithium, cobalt, and coltan—resources obtained through environmentally invasive mining operations, often under exploitative labour conditions. Additionally, the short product lifespan encouraged by corporate design strategies leads to vast amounts of discarded electronics, many of which contain toxic components that leach into soil and groundwater when improperly disposed of.

Beyond material waste, digital pollution also includes the energy costs associated with cloud storage, cryptocurrency mining, and online streaming. A 2020 report by "The Shift Project" estimated that the global carbon footprint of digital technologies accounts for approximately 4% of total greenhouse gas emissions—a figure projected to double by 2025. Streaming music and video, activities central to Gen Z entertainment habits, require substantial data transmission energy, often powered by non-renewable sources.

In this context, the analog revival can be read as both a cultural critique and ecological adaptation. While vinyl records, film cameras, or retro gaming consoles are not entirely environmentally benign, they embody a slower rhythm of production and consumption. They offer what sociologist Hartmut Rosa calls "resonance"—a meaningful relationship between humans and their material environments that contrasts with the alienation of technological acceleration.

The choice to engage with retro technologies also represents an embrace of simplicity as an ecological and psychological value. Retro devices typically lack the complexity, connectivity, and sensory overload of modern digital tools. This simplicity fosters not only cognitive clarity but also a form of low-impact interaction with the environment.

For example, playing a physical vinyl record or using a mechanical film camera requires no data servers, updates, or battery-intensive apps. These activities consume minimal energy and produce minimal waste. More importantly, they cultivate mindfulness—a deliberate slowing down that encourages users to value quality over quantity, experience over efficiency. In this sense, the analog revival resonates with broader lifestyle movements such as minimalism, slow living, and degrowth economics, all of which challenge the unsustainable logic of continuous technological escalation.

Gen Z's environmental orientation thus extends beyond activism and into embodied cultural practices. The act of choosing an analog device becomes both symbolic and practical: a statement of resistance to the disposability of modern media and an affirmation of ecological consciousness through daily habit. This form of environmental ethics, rooted in personal choice rather than systemic overhaul, exemplifies what sociologist Ulrich Beck terms "reflexive modernity"—a stage of social awareness in which individuals internalize global risks and modify their lifestyles accordingly.

Another dimension that reinforces Gen Z's attraction to retro and analog devices is the economic accessibility of these technologies. While the newest digital devices often come with high costs driven by rapid innovation cycles, many retro products are available through secondary markets at more affordable prices. This affordability allows younger consumers, including students and early-career professionals, to align their aesthetic and ethical preferences with their financial realities.

The culture surrounding retro technology encourages DIY repair and modification, practices that foster technical literacy and self-sufficiency. These activities contrast sharply with the "closed" ecosystems of modern tech corporations, which discourage repairability through proprietary hardware and software. The "right-to-repair" movement, widely supported by Gen Z activists, reflects this broader ethical stance: a belief in consumer autonomy, resource preservation, and anti-waste principles. In this regard, the analog revival intersects with contemporary environmental justice movements, positioning

sustainability not merely as consumption restraint but as a form of empowerment and reclamation of agency in a corporate-dominated digital marketplace.

The relationship between Gen Z, retro technology, and environmental sustainability can be understood as a culture of continuity—a generational effort to re-establish equilibrium between progress and preservation. This continuity manifests across material, ecological, and psychological dimensions. Materially, it involves the maintenance and reuse of existing objects; ecologically, it entails the reduction of waste and energy consumption; psychologically, it fulfils the need for grounding, tactility, and presence in an increasingly virtualized reality.

While analog technologies cannot single-handedly resolve the environmental crises of the 21st century, their symbolic and practical significance should not be underestimated. They offer an alternative model of engagement with technology—one that values endurance over novelty, connection over consumption, and purpose over productivity.

In turning toward retro and analog devices, Generation Z demonstrates that sustainability is not merely a policy objective or lifestyle trend but a cultural reorientation—a reimagining of what it means to live responsibly and meaningfully within technological civilization. Through this analog renaissance, the generation most affected by ecological uncertainty is articulating a vision of the future grounded in the ethics of care, material stewardship, and intentional simplicity.

As the analog revival continues to coexist with rapid digital acceleration, Generation Z finds itself positioned in a uniquely complex cultural and psychological space. This cohort functions as a liminal generation, suspended between two competing value systems: the tactile, emotionally grounded sensibilities of the past, and the hyper-efficient, technologically integrated orientation of the present. Unlike previous generations, whose identity formation was shaped by a singular dominant cultural paradigm, Gen Z is confronted with dual and often contradictory influences. This condition has resulted in what may be described as a hybrid identity crisis, wherein individuals struggle to reconcile the emotional authenticity associated with retro culture and the unavoidable immersion in contemporary digital life.

Generation Z occupies a temporal and cultural threshold that sociologists refer to as liminality—a space of "in-betweenness." Born into a digitized world yet nostalgic for unknown eras of analog authenticity, Gen Z is uniquely aware of the distance between what is emotionally desired and what is practically required. They are not able to fully abandon digital culture, nor can they wholly retreat into retro practices. Their identities are therefore negotiated at the crossroads of two divergent cultural logics.

On one hand, the digital ecosystem that surrounds them is deeply entrenched in daily life. Educational systems, workplaces, social relationships, leisure, and even self-expression

are mediated through digital media, artificial intelligence, and algorithmic systems. To disengage from this reality would mean social and professional disconnection—a form of cultural exclusion. On the other hand, the growing re-embrace of analog media, physical objects, and vintage practices symbolizes a yearning for emotional depth, slowness, and embodied presence that the digital world fails to offer. This tension produces a psychological duality that is both enriching and disorienting.

This state of cultural duality can lead to identity fragmentation, a condition where individuals struggle to define a coherent and stable sense of self. Psychologists argue that identity formation is deeply tied to cultural context, coherence, and continuity across experiences. Yet, for Generation Z, the cultural environment lacks uniformity and consistency. Their worldview is shaped by rapid technological transformation, social instability, and constant cultural reinvention. As a result, many experience difficulty locating a stable center of meaning.

The digital realm promotes multiplicity—Gen Z often manages several versions of themselves across platforms: the professional self on LinkedIn, the aesthetic self on Instagram, the humorous or ironic self on TikTok, and the private self in encrypted chats. Meanwhile, retro practices offer a counter-identity—one that is singular, grounded, and emotionally rooted. The clash between these modes of selfhood generates a psychosocial imbalance that has the potential to produce existential anxiety. The question "Who am I within this technological age?" becomes increasingly difficult to answer when one's identity is dispersed across both physical and digital realities.

The rise of artificial intelligence further complicates this generational struggle. AI now participates in creative processes—from generating music and art to writing and communication—domains once associated with deeply personal and human expression. This mechanization of creativity challenges traditional notions of authenticity, authorship, and self- worth. When algorithms can replicate art, mimic emotion, and simulate human interaction, young individuals may confront a crisis of relevance: What is the role of the human in an automated world?

Despite unprecedented access to communication technologies, adolescents today report record levels of loneliness, anxiety, and depressive symptoms. A 2023 UNICEF report found that nearly one in five adolescents' worldwide experiences chronic feelings of isolation. This apparent paradox — connectivity without connection — reflects a structural shift in the nature of social interaction. Digital communication prioritizes speed, accessibility, and volume over emotional depth, leading to relationships that are broad but shallow.

For many young people, especially those navigating identity formation, these digital spaces have become primary sources of emotional expression and validation. However, online relationships are often transient and performative, mediated by algorithms that

reward visibility rather than authenticity. As psychologist Sherry Turkle observes in *Alone Together*, "technology offers the illusion of companionship without the demands of friendship". The constant performance of self in digital platforms, combined with exposure to idealized lives, produces emotional exhaustion and self-doubt. Within this context, the emergence of AI chatbots as companions reflects not only technological innovation but also a cultural symptom of widespread emotional deprivation.

To understand why adolescents turn to AI for comfort, it is necessary to examine the larger context of digital exhaustion and the erosion of traditional sources of emotional support. Many young people today inhabit environments where human attention is fragmented. Parents and peers are themselves absorbed in digital ecosystems; schools and communities, strained by post-pandemic pressures, often lack mental-health resources. Consequently, adolescents may view AI companions as safe spaces for disclosure — places where they can express emotions without fear of judgment, rejection, or misunderstanding.

The digital generation's relationship to emotion is also shaped by algorithmic culture. Social-media architectures reward emotional extremity — posts that evoke anger, excitement, or humor are amplified — while subtler expressions of sadness, confusion, or vulnerability often go unnoticed. This environment conditions young users to either perform emotion for attention or suppress it entirely. AI chatbots, by contrast, seem to offer a consistent and accepting listener, filling the void left by emotionally inconsistent human interactions.

The emotional appeal of AI companionship raises serious ethical and psychological questions. Can a machine offer genuine empathy, or only the performance of it? Does simulated understanding comfort the user, or does it reinforce emotional dependence on illusion? These questions lie at the heart of the emerging field of affective computing ethics.

When adolescents confide in chatbots, they enter a moral and cognitive grey zone. The AI's responses are algorithmically generated, lacking consciousness, intent, or true care. Yet for the user, the interaction may feel real. The emotional consequences of such encounters can be profound: disillusionment, confusion about authenticity, or the reinforcement of maladaptive coping behaviours. In severe cases, when the AI fails to respond to expressions of crisis, the absence of genuine empathy may exacerbate feelings of abandonment.

From a clinical perspective, reliance on artificial companionship can interfere with the development of essential emotional skills — such as empathy, patience, and conflict resolution

— that emerges through genuine human interaction. It may also contribute to emotional displacement, where individuals seek technological substitutes for relational deficits rather than addressing the underlying causes of isolation.

Addressing this crisis requires a multi-layered response that integrates technological ethics, mental-health education, and community engagement. Developers must prioritize safeguard mechanisms that detect and appropriately respond to expressions of psychological distress, redirecting users to professional help when necessary. Policymakers should implement regulatory frameworks ensuring transparency, accountability, and data protection in the design of emotionally interactive AI.

Equally important is digital literacy education: young people must be taught to distinguish between emotional simulation and genuine empathy, and to use AI tools as supplements—not substitutes—for human connection. Schools, families, and online communities should actively foster environments where open discussion of emotional struggles is normalized and supported by real human presence.

At a cultural level, there is a need to re-humanize communication — to reclaim slowness, attentiveness, and authenticity in interpersonal relationships. The challenge is not to demonize technology but to redefine its role: from emotional surrogate to supportive instrument within a humane ecosystem of care.

It would be reductive to attribute these tragedies solely to AI technology. The issue must be understood within the broader socio-technological landscape. Economic instability, academic pressures, social polarization, and post-pandemic trauma have collectively heightened psychological distress among youth. At the same time, mental-health infrastructure in many countries remains inadequate, leaving digital spaces to fill the void of emotional support. The availability of AI chatbots thus intersects with a systemic crisis of care.

AI's ubiquity also creates a dependency that Gen Z cannot feasibly avoid. From algorithm-curated content and AI-powered search tools to automated workplace systems, nearly every domain of contemporary life is intertwined with intelligent technology. Thus, turning away from the digital realm is not a realistic option. To reject digital culture is to risk falling behind socially, academically, or economically. The analog revival therefore remains primarily a symbolic refuge rather than a full alternative lifestyle.

In this context, retro culture emerges as a coping mechanism—a psychological and emotional response to the pressures of digital saturation. Analog activities, such as listening to vinyl, taking film photographs, or writing in journals, function as grounding practices that reintroduce sensory presence and emotional intentionality into daily life. They provide a counterweight to the acceleration, fragmentation, and detachment that characterize digital existence.

This retreat into the past may not simply be nostalgia—it may also be an act of emotional self-preservation. The analog turn allows Gen Z to access forms of comfort, stability, and embodiment that are absent in algorithm-driven environments. As digital spaces become more immersive and less tactile, retro practices provide a means of reclaiming the human dimension of experience.

However, this dynamic is not without potential consequences. If the analog revival becomes a form of escapism rather than a balanced lifestyle integration, it may intensify feelings of displacement. Longing for a past that cannot return—especially a past one has never lived—may result in temporal alienation, where individuals feel disconnected from both the present and the imagined past. As technologies such as extended reality (XR), virtual worlds, and AI companions' advance, the gap between the emotional needs of individuals and the capacities of digital systems to fulfill them may widen.

Future generations may therefore face heightened psychological strain as technology becomes increasingly immersive and indistinguishable from physical reality. If emotional well- being continues to deteriorate in digital spaces and retro practices remain only a temporary refuge, the identity and mental health crisis among youth may deepen.

The challenge, therefore, is not to choose between retro authenticity and digital convenience, but to cultivate an equilibrium that allows both to coexist in a complementary manner. Such balance would involve intentionally preserving tactile, embodied, and emotionally rich practices while harnessing the benefits of digital innovation for education, creativity, and global connectivity. This integrated approach requires a re-evaluation of technological design, digital consumption habits, and cultural values.

Equilibrium implies selective engagement rather than total immersion or rejection. It suggests using AI and digital tools with conscious boundaries, while actively incorporating physical, analog, and interpersonal experiences that nurture emotional intelligence and human connection. The aim is not regression to an outdated era nor uncritical acceleration into a post- human future, but the construction of a hybrid cultural model that safeguards human presence, sensory richness, and affective depth. As artificial intelligence becomes further integrated into daily life, the line between interaction and relationship will continue to blur. The responsibility now lies with technologists, educators, and policymakers to ensure that digital innovation does not erode the human capacity for compassion and presence. Ultimately, no algorithm, however sophisticated, can replace the warmth of human understanding.

Generation Z's dual attachment to retro and digital cultures represents more than a trend—it is a profound reflection of the emotional and existential challenges of living in an age of technological acceleration, "The society of achievement is tired. It exhausts itself in the constant compulsion to perform." (Han). Their nostalgia for analog

experiences is not merely sentimental; it is a form of cultural self-correction, an effort to restore what digital modernity has diminished. While it is impossible to abandon the digital realm—and indeed undesirable given its benefits—there is an urgent need to preserve emotional balance, grounded presence, and human connection.

The future will demand intentional cultural design—ensuring that technological evolution does not erase humanity's need for touch, meaning, memory, and belonging. The responsibility of our era is to craft a future in which the wisdom of the past and the innovations of the present coexist, informing a digital landscape that remains deeply human at its core.

Works Cited

Baudrillard, Jean. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser, University of Michigan Press, 1994.

Benjamin, Walter. "The Work of Art in the Age of Mechanical Reproduction." *Illuminations*, edited by Hannah Arendt, translated by Harry Zohn, Schocken Books, 1968, pp. 217–251.

Han, Byung-Chul. *The Burnout Society*. Translated by Erik Butler, Stanford University Press, 2015.

Jameson, Fredric. *Postmodernism, or, The Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*. Duke University Press, 1991.

Turkle, Sherry. *Alone Together: Why We Expect More from Technology and Less from Each Other*. Basic Books, 2011. E-book.

Van Leuven, Ella. "The Retro Revival: Gen Z's Obsession with Vintage Tech." *The Arbiter*, 16 Oct. 2024, arbiteronline.com/2024/10/16/the-retro-revival-gen-zs-obsession-with-vintage-tech/.

Works Consulted

Phillips, Robin Mark. "Byung-Chul Han." *RobinMarkPhillips.com*, 5 July 2024, robinmarkphillips.com/byung-chul-han/.

Sacchi, Martino. "Reading Note on B. Han's 'Non-things: Upheaval in the Lifeworld'." *Medium*, 9 June 2024, medium.com/@martinosacchi60/reading-note-on-b-hans-non-things-upheaval-in-the-lifeworld-db0a66e725ba.

The Global E-waste Monitor 2024: Quantities, Flows and Resources. International Telecommunication Union and UN Institute for Training and Research (SCYCLE), 2024, ewastemonitor.info/the-global-e-waste-monitor-2024/.